

S-THEORY

ITZHAK BARS

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484, USA
E-mail: bars@physics.usc.edu*

ABSTRACT

The representation theory of the maximally extended superalgebra with 32 fermionic and 528 bosonic generators is developed in order to investigate non-perturbative properties of the democratic secret theory behind strings and other p-branes. The presence of Lorentz non-singlet central extensions is emphasized, their role for understanding up to 13 hidden dimensions and their physical interpretation as boundaries of p-branes is elucidated. The criteria for a new larger set of BPS-like non-perturbative states is given and the methods of investigation are illustrated with several explicit examples.

1 Introduction

String and p-brane dualities have led to the notion that there is a **m**ysterious, **f**ascinating, **s**ecret **s**upersymmetric theory, which may be called M-theory, F-theory, S-theory, \dots . It includes all possible closed and open (i.e. with boundaries) p-branes, such as **s**trings, **m**embranes, **f**ivebranes, \dots , interacting with each other in various flat, curved or compactified spacetimes. It has been proposed that this theory, which includes up to 12 (or 13, see below) hidden dimensions, may be the **m**other, **f**ather or **s**ire of all physical theories. Some of the M- and F- aspects have been discussed by other authors [1][2][3]. D-branes [4] provide some handle on the theory.

In this paper I will concentrate on some of the S- properties of the **s**ecret theory, and will emphasize an algebraic approach [5] based on a superalgebra with 32 fermionic and 528 bosonic generators [6][5]. The collection of all bosonic operators form a 32×32 symmetric matrix S given by the anticommutator of the supercharges $\{Q, Q\} \sim S$. The structure and symmetries of S are related to p-branes, dualities and hidden dimensions. Global properties, certain states and certain non-perturbative properties of the underlying secret theory may be studied by analyzing the representations of this superalgebra. This line of investigation will be called S-theory.

In this paper I will outline the elements of S-theory. One of the points to be emphasized is the presence of Lorentz non-singlet central extensions in S , which so far received little attention. In the usual treatment of non-perturbative properties of M- or F-theory the Lorentz singlet central extensions play a major role (e.g. BPS-like states or black holes). Here I will argue that the Lorentz non-singlets also play

a major role and that their presence is required in order to see the full extent of hidden dimensions and duality symmetries. In section-2 I will give a physical interpretation of these central extensions in terms of boundary variables for p -branes. In section-3 the symmetry structure of the generalized algebra and the connection to hidden dimensions (up to a total of 13 dimensions) will be explained. In section-4 the representations of the superalgebra will be constructed and, as a special case, the criteria for obtaining the quantum numbers of the generalized BPS-type states will be given. As an application of S-theory I will show that there are new non-perturbative BPS states that carry quantum numbers (eigenvalues of central extensions) that are Lorentz non-singlets, and I will construct some explicit examples. Since these quantum numbers have an interpretation in terms of p -brane boundaries these BPS states are related to open p -branes. When they are included in the spectrum along with more familiar D-brane BPS states they form *larger multiplet structures of symmetries* that exhibit additional hidden dimensions as well as dualities.

Results such as the ones described, which follow only from the properties of the superalgebra, are assumed to be valid non-perturbatively in the full theory. Therefore, they must be useful handles for constructing and analysing the fundamental underlying theory.

2 Lorentz non-singlet central extensions

In the generalized superalgebra $\{Q_\alpha^a, Q_\beta^b\} = S_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}$, where $S_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} = \delta^{ab} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu P_\mu +$ “central extensions”, there are generally Lorentz non-singlet central extensions $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}$ with p Lorentz indices. The structure and properties of these additional operators are explained more fully in the following sections. As explained in [5] a nonzero $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}$ which is not a Lorentz singlet does not violate the no-go theorem of [7] as long as there are extended objects. The Lorentz singlets Z^{ab} , with $p = 0$, are well known to represent the quantum numbers of black holes in M- theory. In this section I will clarify the physical interpretation of $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}$ for $p \geq 1$ as related to p -branes.

For simplicity I will assume that all p -branes propagate in flat backgrounds (such as flat spacetime in direct product with tori or their orbifolds in compactified dimensions). Under this assumption all 528 components of S commute with each other as justified below. Similar considerations in curved backgrounds would yield a more complicated algebra that is harder to analyse (for example momenta do not commute in curved backgrounds).

Just like momentum, all possible values of these central extensions must be included in the representation of the superalgebra in order to take into account all possible states in the representation consistent with the Lorentz group. But physical considerations would determine if they are spacelike, lightlike or timelike, and hence there are classes of representations, just like the Poincaré group.

These central extensions are closely associated with the boundaries of p -branes

as well as the topology of the background geometry in which they propagate. In a flat d -dimensional spacetime, as assumed in this paper, the Lorentz non-singlets are present only if the p -brane has boundaries. This is seen as follows: In the low energy effective theory the gauge potential with $p + 1$ antisymmetric Lorentz indices has a source term in its equation of motion (the notation is explained in [5])

$$\partial_\lambda \partial^{[\lambda} A_{ab}^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_p]}(x) = J_{ab}^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_p}(x). \quad (1)$$

The current is non-vanishing when constructed from a p -brane $X_\mu(\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p)$ and its super-partners

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}(x) &= \int d\tau d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_p \sum_i z_i^{ab} \delta^d(x - X^{(i)}(\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p)) \\ &\quad \times \partial_\tau X_{[\mu_0}^{(i)} \dots \partial_{\sigma_p} X_{\mu_p]}^{(i)}(\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the index i is a label for many p -branes and z_i^{ab} is their coupling to the pair of supercharges labelled by a, b . The Lorentz non-singlet central extension is the integral of the current over a spacelike “slice” in spacetime

$$Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab} = \int d^{d-1} \Sigma^{\mu_0} J_{\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}(x). \quad (3)$$

where, e.g. one may use a non-covariant notation by choosing $\mu_0 = 0$, $d^{d-1} \Sigma^0 = d^{d-1} x$, i.e. the volume of space at fixed time. This is one expression for $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}$. Another expression is obtained by substituting the left side of (1) in (3). Then the integrand is a total divergence and therefore it can be expressed as a surface integral involving the asymptotic values of $A_{ab}^{0\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$ at infinity of physical space ($r \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore in any classical solution of the effective low energy theory, a non-trivial asymptotic behavior $A_{ab}^{0\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \sim Z_{ab}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} / r^{d-2}$ would have an interpretation in terms of p -branes through (2).

Now, what property of the p -brane is represented by $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}$? As an example, let us perform the integral in (3) for $p = 1$, i.e. for a string. Ignoring the \dots due to fermionic string variable (due to supersymmetry), the result is^a

$$Z_\mu^{ab} = \sum_i z_i^{ab} \int d\sigma \partial_\sigma X_\mu^{(i)}(\tau, \sigma). \quad (4)$$

If the remaining integral over σ is for closed strings propagating in flat spacetime, then the closed string condition $X_\mu^{(i)}(\tau, 0) = X_\mu^{(i)}(\tau, 2\pi)$ gives $(Z_\mu^{ab})_{closed} = 0$ ^b. But

^aIt is useful, but not necessary to choose the timelike gauge $X^0(\tau, \sigma) = \tau$, use $\tau = x^0$ because of the delta function, and take the spacetime “surface” to be the usual integral over all space at constant time.

^bA similar expression in compactified dimensions is non-zero because of the closed cycles in a non-trivial topology. Similarly, if spacetime is curved instead of flat, there could be non-trivial contributions for closed strings, if the topology is non-trivial.

if there are open strings, then the the result depends only on the end points

$$\left(Z_{\mu}^{ab}\right)_{open} = \sum_i z_i^{ab} \left(X_{\mu}^{(i)}(\tau, \pi) - X_{\mu}^{(i)}(\tau, 0)\right). \quad (5)$$

Note that this is a Lorentz vector, and (unless identically zero) it is a continuous spacelike or light-like variable, but is not timelike. It is obviously translationally invariant and hence it commutes with the momentum operator, consistent with our general assumption that all central extensions commute in flat spacetime.

Similarly, for the general p -brane in flat spacetime the central extensions $Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}$ can be shown to be related to boundary variables that commute with each other as well as with the momentum operators. Hence all these operators are simultaneously diagonalizable and their continuous eigenvalues must label the physical states at an equal footing with the eigenvalues of momentum, since they are not distinguishable under the symmetries of the superalgebra.

3 The extended superalgebra

The maximum number of supercharges in a physical theory is 32. This constraint comes from 4-dimensions, which admits at the most 8 supercharges, each with four real components, since there can be no supermultiplet of massless particles with spins higher than 2. In an arbitrary number of dimensions, we label the 32 supercharges as

$$Q_{\alpha}^a = \begin{cases} \alpha = \text{spinor in } d \text{ dims.} \\ a = 1, 2, \dots N; \quad \text{spinor in } c + 2 \text{ dims.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where $N = 1$, when $d=11$; $N = 2$, when $d=10$; \dots ; $N = 8$ when $d=4$. Here d is the dimension of spacetime. Let's define c as the number of compactified string dimensions such that $d + c = 10$. It was argued that there are two extra hidden dimensions, one spacelike and one timelike, and that N corresponds to the dimension of the spinor in $c+2$ dimensions [5]. Furthermore N also corresponds to a dimension of an irreducible representation of the group K , which is the maximal compact subgroup of U -duality.

The extended superalgebra has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{\alpha}^a, Q_{\beta}^b\} &= (S)_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} \\ (S)_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} &= \delta^{ab} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu} P_{\mu} + \sum_{p=0,1,2,\dots} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{ab}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the permutation symmetry of $(\alpha\beta)$ must be the same as (ab) in each term on the right hand side. The structure of $(S)_{\alpha\beta}^{ab}$ is of central interest in this paper. From it we will learn about the symmetries of the underlying theory (many of them hidden from the point of view of conventional string theories) as well as about the representation space that is related to the physical states of the theory.

For $d \geq 10$ there are two types of superalgebras A,B that are outlined below. From the construction of gamma matrices given in the appendix one can see that the type A and type B superalgebras originate with different forms S_A, S_B embedded in a higher 64×64 spinor space in 13-dimensions. For $d \leq 9$ there is a one to one correspondance between type A,B superalgebras, which are then related by T-duality. As we will see below certain hidden symmetries in the form (7) become manifest in the covariant forms S_A, S_B given below in higher dimensions. Hence the T,U-duality between A and B versions may find its roots in 13D.

The Lorentz generators for $SO(d-1, 1)$ do not commute with the supercharges or with the bosonic generators (except for $p = 0$ case), since these are spinors or antisymmetric p -tensors under Lorentz transformations respectively. In addition to the Lorentz transformations that act as isometries, there are other isometries that act like (i) Lorentz transformations in $c+2$ compact plus hidden dimensions $SO(c+1, 1)$ and (ii) Discrete U -duality transformations that induce continuous transformations through the maximal compact subgroup K (see [5]). The supercharges, bosonic generators and the physical states of the theory are classified by the isometries $SO(d-1, 1) \otimes SO(c+1, 1)$ or by $SO(d-1, 1) \otimes K$. Displaying one of these classifications may hide the other one. The isometries and their intersecting subgroup structure was given in [5] as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{l} c \text{ compact} + \\ 2 \text{ hidden dims} \\ SO(c+1, 1) \end{array} & \rightarrow & \begin{array}{l} \text{two intersecting} \\ \text{classifications} \\ \text{of multiplets of} \\ \text{generators \& states} \end{array} \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow \\
\begin{array}{l} SO(c+1)_{1 \text{ hidden dim.}} \\ SO(c) \text{ is common subgroup} \\ SO(c)_L \otimes SO(c)_R \end{array} & \left. \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ K \\ \text{maximal compact in } U \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow U_{\text{duality}} \end{array} \right\} & (8) \\
\uparrow & & \\
T - \text{duality } SO(c, c) & &
\end{array}$$

In this paper I will assume a flat background and hence all bosonic generators commute among themselves and with the supercharges as explained in section-2. In this case it is easy to find all the representations of the superalgebra and analyse the physical states as discussed in section-4.

3.1 Type-A

In 11D there are only 3 terms [6]

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} &= (S_A)_{\alpha\beta} \\
(S_A)_{\alpha\beta} &= (C\gamma^m)_{\alpha\beta} P_m + (C\gamma^{m_1 m_2})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{m_1 m_2} + (C\gamma^{m_1 \dots m_5})_{\alpha\beta} X_{m_1 \dots m_5}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 10$, and the gamma matrices are 32×32 (for more details see the appendix). When reduced to lower dimensions this 32×32 matrix $(S_A)_{\alpha\beta}$ takes the

form of (7). As is well known, in 10D $(S_A)_{\alpha\beta}$ is distinguishable from the type-B $(S_B)_{\alpha\beta}$ given below, but in 9 dimensions or less the reduced $(S_A)_{\alpha\beta}$ and reduced $(S_B)_{\alpha\beta}$ have similar content that is related by T-duality.

It is also possible to consider 12 dimensions with signature (10,2) [8] since the Weyl spinor is real and 32-dimensional. Then the extended superalgebra can be written covariantly in 12D [5]

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = (S_A)_{\alpha\beta} \\ (S_A)_{\alpha\beta} = \left(C\gamma^{M_1 M_2}\right)_{\alpha\beta} Z_{M_1 M_2} + \left(C\gamma^{M_1 \dots M_6}\right)_{\alpha\beta} Z_{M_1 \dots M_6}^+ \quad (10)$$

where $M = 0', m$, and $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 10$, with two time-like dimensions denoted by $M = 0', 0$. The relation between 11 and 12 dimensional gamma matrices $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m, \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^M$, $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^M$ is given in the appendix. Similarly, the bosonic generators are related by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{M_1 M_2} &\rightarrow P_m \oplus Z_{m_1 m_2} & 66 &= 11 + 55 \\ Z_{M_1 \dots M_6}^+ &\rightarrow X_{m_1 \dots m_5} & 462 &= 462. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The six-index tensor is self dual in 12D.

Note that there is no 12D translation operator P_M in the (10,2) version (10). Therefore the extension of the theory from (10,1) to (10,2) is *not the naive extension that would have implied two time coordinates*, since the corresponding canonical conjugate momenta are not present in the theory. There is only one time translation operator P_0 , hence there is only one time coordinate that can be recognized after the reduction to 11 or lower dimensions. Nevertheless there is an obvious SO(10,2) covariance in the form (10). Therefore there is a hidden SO(10,2) covariance in the forms (9) or in (7) for $d \leq 9$, since they are equivalent to (10). We emphasize again that the 12D SO(10,2) generators L_{MN} do not appear on the right hand side; i.e. $Z_{M_1 M_2}$ are not the L_{MN} .

3.2 Type-B

The type-B superalgebra in $d = 10$ can be written as (see appendix)

$$\{Q_{\bar{\alpha}}, Q_{\bar{\beta}}\} = (S_B)_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} \\ (S_B)_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\mu}} (c\bar{\tau}_i)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} P_{\bar{\mu}}^i + \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2 \bar{\mu}_3} c^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} Y_{\bar{\mu}_1 \bar{\mu}_2 \bar{\mu}_3} + \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\mu}_1 \dots \bar{\mu}_5} (c\bar{\tau}_i)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} X_{\bar{\mu}_1 \dots \bar{\mu}_5}^i. \quad (12)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ and $\bar{a}, \bar{b} = 1, 2$ while $\bar{\mu} = 0, 1, \dots, 9$ and $i = 0', 1', 2'$. The $X_{\bar{\mu}_1 \dots \bar{\mu}_5}^i$ are self dual in 10D. Here $\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\mu}}$ are 16×16 10D gamma matrices obtained from the list of 13D gamma matrices in (37) by omitting the first two factors in the direct products (i.e. $\gamma_0 = 1 \otimes 1_8$, $\gamma_9 = \tau_3 \otimes 1_8$, etc.). The $\bar{\tau}_i^{ab} \equiv (i\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_1)$ are 2×2 gamma matrices in a hidden 3D Minkowski space, with a charge conjugation matrix $c^{ab} = i\tau_2^{ab} = \varepsilon^{ab}$. They are obtained from the 13D gamma matrices in the appendix by keeping only the first factor in $(\Gamma_{0'}, \Gamma_{10}, \Gamma_A)$ which corresponds to $\bar{\tau}_i$. The reason

for the split of 13D into 10D+3D is the type B chiral projection, as explained in the appendix. The 13D covariance is lost because of the projection, but a clear identification of the dimensions labelled by $\bar{\mu}, i$ survives. This algebra is covariant under $SO(1,9) \otimes SO(1,2)_B$.

Again, as in the type A algebra, there is only one time translation operator. The usual 10D momentum operator $P_{\bar{\mu}}$ corresponds to the $i = 0'$ component of $P_{\bar{\mu}}^i$, or equivalently to the trace part of $(c\bar{\tau}_i)^{ab} P_{\bar{\mu}}^i$. Likewise, the 3D momentum operator P^i corresponds to the $\bar{\mu} = 0$ component of $P_{\bar{\mu}}^i$ or to the trace part of $\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\mu}} P_{\bar{\mu}}^i$. The time translation operator for either 10D or 3D is the same one, namely $P_0^{0'}$, and it corresponds to the trace part of the full 32×32 matrix $(S_B)_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$. This is the same time translation operator that appears as the trace of S_A in the type A superalgebra, given above in the a 12D or 11D covariant forms.

3.3 More about the duality map $A \leftrightarrow B$

Once the theory is compactified to $d = 9$ (or fewer dimensions) the two types S_A, S_B reduce to two forms that are in one to one correspondance to each other, but are not identical. Both of these forms display $SO(8,1) \otimes SO(2,1)$ isometry, one in the form $SO(8,1) \otimes SO(2,1)_B$ coming from $SO(9,1) \otimes SO(2,1)_B$ and the other $SO(8,1) \otimes SO(2,1)_A$ coming from $SO(10,2)$. By comparing the reduced forms of S_A, S_B given below we find the map between the A,B types in 9 dimensions.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_B = & \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^9 (c\bar{\tau}_i)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} P_9^i + \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^\mu (c\bar{\tau}_i)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} P_\mu^i \\
& + (\bar{\gamma}^9 \bar{\gamma}^{\mu_1 \mu_2})_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} c^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} Y_{9\mu_1 \mu_2} \\
& + \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} c^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} Y_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} \\
& + (\bar{\gamma}^9 \bar{\gamma}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4})_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} (c\bar{\tau}_i)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} X_{9\mu_1 \dots \mu_4}^i \\
& i = 0', 10, A = 0', 1', 2'; \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, 8
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_A = & (C\gamma^{IJ})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{IJ} + (C\gamma^\mu \gamma^I)_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\mu I} \\
& + (C\gamma^{\mu_1 \mu_2})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \\
& + (C\gamma^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} \gamma^{0'} \gamma^9 \gamma^{10})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 0' 9 10}^+ \\
& + (C\gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4} \gamma^{IJ})_{\alpha\beta} Z_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4 IJ}^+ \\
& I = 0', 10, 9, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, 8
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

These expressions are obtained by rewriting the original expressions for S_A, S_B , that were given in terms of the 64×64 gamma matrices Γ in the appendix, and specializing the indices $M = (\mu, I)$ or (μ, i) respectively. There is a correspondance term by term: $Z_{IJ} \longleftrightarrow \varepsilon_{IJK} P_9^K$, $Z_{\mu I} \longleftrightarrow P_\mu^i$, etc.. However, the 32×32 gamma matrices of type A that multiply these coefficients are not of the direct product form $\bar{\tau} \otimes \bar{\gamma}$ of type B. Furthermore, the type A,B indices I, i respectively label different sets of compactified dimensions embedded in 13D ($I = 0', 10, 9$, versus $i = 0', 10, A$, where A labels the

13th dimension). Hence the T-duality that exists between types A and B is closely related to the map provided by the above expressions, and it involves a “*duality transformation that corresponds to relabelling some of the 13 dimensions.*”

4 Representations, BPS states

The superalgebra in d dimensions (7) has two types of isometries: spacetime-like isometries $SO(c+1, 1)$ and duality isometries K ($\subset U$) in addition to the Lorentz isometry $SO(d-1, 1)$. The classification of the various generators has been tabulated in various dimensions (d, c) elsewhere [5]. The physical states of the theory must be classified as the representation spaces of the superalgebra. Therefore, it is expected that the physical states form supermultiplets consistent with these isometries and that they reveal the structures of the hidden dimensions and dualities displayed in (8). Some work in this direction has been reported before [9][5]. Here we describe a more systematic approach and provide examples of new BPS states that belong in larger multiplets along with previously known BPS states. The new element is the inclusion of quantum numbers that carry Lorentz indices.

In the case of Abelian bosonic generators, as assumed in this paper, all representations are found by analogy to representations of standard supersymmetry. The main new ingredient is that instead of the standard momentum operators we now have 528 abelian operators in S that are simultaneously diagonalizable. In previous work only the Lorentz singlet central extensions were included in addition to the standard momentum in seeking representations, but here we include all bosonic operators. Recall that those that carry p Lorentz indices are physically relevant for the description of boundaries of p -branes in ordinary spacetime (not just in compactified spacetime). These 528 operators are at an equal footing since they are mixed with the momenta and with each other by the $SO(c+1, 1)$ and K isometries.

The representation space is constructed as follows. A reference state is chosen such that it is labelled by

$$|S, R_c > \text{ or } |S, R_K > \quad (15)$$

where S represents the eigenvalues of all commuting 528 bosonic generators, and $R_{c,K}$ is a representation of the isometry $SO(d-1, 1) \otimes SO(c+1, 1)$ or a representation of $SO(d-1, 1) \otimes K$ respectively (as discussed in [5] R_c must form a collection of irreducible representations that can be expanded in terms of R_K and vice-versa). Then all possible powers of the fermionic generators are applied to the reference state in order to obtain the full supermultiplet.

For “long” multiplets there are $2^{32/2}$ combinations of linearly independent powers of fermionic operators applied on the reference state (fermionic plus bosonic spinor representations of $SO(32)$). Since the reference state has dimension $\dim(R_{c,K})$, then the dimension of the full supermultiplet is $2^{16} \times \dim(R_{c,K})$. Furthermore, since each

supergenerator is classified under $SO(d-1,1) \otimes SO(c+1,1)$ or $SO(d-1,1) \otimes K$, it is straightforward to obtain the representation content of each state under these groups. We will argue in the next section that these supermultiplets hide even bigger structures associated with 12D or 13D.

Some of the irreducible supermultiplets are shorter than the naive counting would indicate. This happens whenever there is a linear combination of fermionic generators that vanishes on the reference state

$$\epsilon_{a(k)}^\alpha Q_\alpha^a |S, R_{c,K} \rangle = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad (16)$$

The supermultiplets associated with such reference states are the BPS-type states. This gives the analog of shorter multiplets of ordinary supersymmetry with central extensions, but here the possibilities are much richer since there are many more central extensions. With only Lorentz singlet central extension the shorter multiplets look like representations of $1/2, 1/4, 1/8, \dots$ supersymmetry. But by including all possible central extensions we find that it is possible to obtain shorter supermultiplets as if the supersymmetry contains any number of generators from zero to 32, i.e. n can be anything.

It is important to emphasize that since the momentum P_μ (and in particular the mass) is mixed with all other 528 quantum numbers under $SO(c+1,1)$, *these multiplets can contain states of different masses*. We see then that the multiplets have plenty of information about the hidden dimensions or duality symmetries of the theory. The more familiar string states at various excitation levels are part of the multiplet; the additional states needed to complete the multiplet become the prediction of S-theory. In previous work some simple examples in this direction were provided [9][5].

Now we give the covariant criteria, consistent with all the isometries, for the presence BPS-like supermultiplets. Since (16) must hold, then it implies that the 32×32 matrix S must have zero eigenvalues with multiplicity n

$$\epsilon_{a(k)}^\alpha \{Q_\alpha^a, Q_\beta^b\} |S, R_{c,K} \rangle = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \epsilon_{a(k)}^\alpha S_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} = 0 \quad (17)$$

Therefore, the determinant vanishes

$$\det(S) = 0. \quad (18)$$

By writing out the secular equation $\det(S - \lambda) = 0$ the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue (i.e. n) can be determined. In our notation the energy term in S is proportional to the identity $S \sim P_0 + \dots$ as described at the end of section-3.2. Hence adding the λ is superfluous; we can instead count the multiplicity of the energy eigenvalue at which $\det(S) = 0$. This condition is consistent with all the isometries, and therefore the collection of all BPS-like states that satisfy it must form a shorter supermultiplet of the superalgebra and of the isometries. It is worth emphasizing that all the information about the multiplet is contained in the reference state (15).

5 Higher dimensions and BPS states

As discussed in section-3, the form of S in (d, c) dimensions is a rewriting of the original $S_{A,B}$ embedded in 12 or 13 dimensions. Furthermore, the criteria for the BPS-like states (as well as for long multiplets) are consistent with the higher symmetries that are displayed by the original $S_{A,B}$. Therefore, the long or shorter supermultiplets that are identified in any dimension must also be consistent with the symmetry structure of the hidden 12 or 13 dimensions, i.e. $SO(10,2)_A$ or $SO(9,1) \otimes SO(2,1)_B$. Some examples are provided below.

5.1 From Type IIA superstring to 12D supergravity

As is well known by now the black holes of type IIA superstring can be thought of as Kaluza-Klein states of 11D supergravity compactified to 10D. In our language these BPS states correspond to a reference state

$$|p_\mu, p_{10}; R_{c,K} = \mathbf{1} > \quad (19)$$

where in addition to momentum, the only non-zero central extension in 10D is the quantized 11th momentum $p_{10} = n/R$ where R is the radius of compactification (related to the coupling constant as argued by Witten [1]). The remaining bosonic 517 ($= 528 - 11$) central extensions are set equal to zero. Then (9,10) and the BPS conditions simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= p_\mu (C\gamma^\mu) + p_{10} (C\gamma^{10}) \\ \det(S_A) &\sim (p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2 - p_{10}^2)^{16} = (M_{10} - p_{10})^{16} (M_{10} + p_{10})^{16} \\ \det S_A = 0 &\longleftrightarrow M_{10} = |p_{10}|, \quad \text{multiplicity} = 16 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where M_{10} is the mass in 10D, $M_{10}^2 = p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2$. 16 supersymmetries vanish and 32-16=16 act non-trivially. Therefore, the dimension of this short supermultiplet is $2^{16/2} = 256$, consisting of 2^7 bosons plus 2^7 fermions. This has the same content as the degrees of freedom of 11D supergravity. As is well known, the presence of the 11th momentum p_{10} , as a central extension, indicates the presence of the 11th dimension. In addition, 11D manifests itself in the 256 dimensional supermultiplet of states, since this multiplet is constructed from the direct products of the 32 supercharges that form a spinor basis for 11D.

Now we discuss the hidden 12D structure. The first hint is that the 32 supercharges form a basis for the chiral spinor representation for 12D. Therefore, the multiplicity 256 is consistent with 12D Lorentz transformations $SO(10,2)$. However, there is no 12th momentum. Indeed, as discussed in section-3, a 12th momentum should not be expected, since it does not appear in the superalgebra of type A (9,10). Instead one should seek the central extensions $Z_{M_1 M_2}, Z_{M_1 \dots M_6}^+$. Consider a reference state labelled by

$$|Z_{M_1 M_2} \neq 0, Z_{M_1 \dots M_6}^+ = 0; R_{c,K} = \mathbf{1} > \quad (21)$$

with the further special condition $(Z^3)_{M_1 M_2} = 0$ which is still $\text{SO}(10,2)$ covariant (contractions of indices requires the $(10,2)$ metric). A form of $Z_{M_1 M_2}$ that satisfies this requirement is

$$Z_{M_1 M_2} = \Lambda_{M_1}^{N_1} Z_{N_1 N_2}^0 \Lambda_{M_2}^{N_2}$$

$$Z_{M_1 M_2}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{10} & p_\mu \\ -p_{10} & 0 & 0 \\ -p_\mu & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

where Λ is a $\text{SO}(10,2)$ boost and $Z_{M_1 M_2}^0$ is the solution in (20). This form is equivalent to the cross product of two 12D vectors that are orthogonal and one of them is null in 12D

$$Z_{M_1 M_2} = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{P}_{M_1} \tilde{P}'_{M_2} - \tilde{P}_{M_2} \tilde{P}'_{M_1}),$$

$$\tilde{P} \cdot \tilde{P}' = \tilde{P} \cdot \tilde{P} = 0. \quad (23)$$

The tildas are used to emphasize that these are 12D vectors. S_A and its determinant have the form

$$S_A = C \gamma^{M_1 M_2} \tilde{P}_{M_1} \tilde{P}'_{M_2}$$

$$\det S_A = (\tilde{P}^2 \tilde{P}'^2 - (\tilde{P} \cdot \tilde{P}')^2)^{16} \quad (24)$$

Therefore, the zero eigenvalue is 16-fold degenerate. Written in this form the reference state is covariant under $\text{SO}(10,2)$, but yet it is equivalent to the 11D reference state in (20) up to a $\text{SO}(10,2)$ boost. Combining this reference state with the fact that the 32 supercharges form a spinor representation of $\text{SO}(10,2)$, the resulting supermultiplet with a 256 degeneracy (just as in 11D supergravity) must also be consistent with $\text{SO}(10,2)$.

Therefore I conjecture that there should be a reformulation (or generalization perhaps by including auxiliary fields) of 11D supergravity that is consistent with more hidden dimensions, is $\text{SO}(10,2)$ covariant and contains the same 256 physical components of fields that are present in 11D supergravity. However, for covariance, the fields should be allowed to depend on more than 11 dimensions, and be consistent with the covariant central extensions given above in the form of equations of motion for the fields

$$\tilde{P}^2 \phi + \dots = 0, \quad \tilde{P} \cdot \tilde{P}' \phi + \dots = 0. \quad (25)$$

Such a reformulation would provide one of the simplest low energy effective field theories that describe a sector of the fundamental theory consistently with $\text{SO}(10,2)$. In view of the present remarks it may be useful to revive some old attempts in such a direction [10]. Toward this goal perhaps a first step should be generalizing the superparticle action with additional degrees of freedom in 12D, such as \tilde{P}, \tilde{P}' and superpartners. The canonical quantization of of such a generalized superparticle should yield the specialized form of the superalgebra in (10)

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = (C \gamma^{M_1 M_2})_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{P}_{M_1} \tilde{P}'_{M_2}. \quad (26)$$

The form (24) can satisfy $\det S_A = 0$ with a slightly less constrained $\text{SO}(10,2)$ vectors \tilde{P}, \tilde{P}' . This corresponds to a larger class of solutions that are not connected to (20) by $\text{SO}(10,2)$ boosts.

5.1.1 More 11D \longleftrightarrow 12D solutions

It is possible to display some special solutions with more central extensions consistent with 11D, and covariantized to 12D by boosts. For example, using 11D gamma matrices as in (10) one may take

$$S_A = C \not{P} + C \not{P}' \not{P} + C \not{X}_1 \not{X}_2 \not{X}_3 \not{X}_4 \not{P} \quad (27)$$

where $\not{P} \equiv P \cdot \gamma$, $\not{P}' \equiv P' \cdot \gamma$, $\not{X}_i \equiv X_i \cdot \gamma$ are 11D vectors dotted with gamma matrices. To insure the antisymmetry of $Z_{\mu\nu}, X_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5}$, the vectors are taken othogonal to each other. Furthermore, taking P_μ lightlike in 11D (i.e. $M_{10} = |p_{10}|$ as in (20)) guarantees that the BPS condition is satisfied

$$\det S_A = \det (C + C \not{Z} + C \not{X}_1 \not{X}_2 \not{X}_3 \not{X}_4) \det (\not{P}) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Since

$$\det (\not{P}) = (M_{10} + p_{10})^{16} (M_{10} - p_{10})^{16}, \quad (29)$$

the multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue is again 16. In this case the reference state has more non-zero central extensions describing more complicated p-branes. These are probably related to each other by various dualities.

Any 11D solution can be boosted to a 12D covariant form by applying an overall $\text{SO}(10,2)$ transformation and then identifying the $Z_{M_1 M_2}, Z_{M_1 \dots M_6}^+$. In the present solution both of these are non-zero, albeit of special forms rather than being the most general. It is because of their special form that the degeneracy of the zero eigenvalue is still 16. With more general forms the degeneracy (and hence the size of the shorter supermultiplets) would be different.

5.1.2 Excited levels

The excited states of type IIA (perturbative) string give only a subset of the states of the full secret theory. We have conjectured in the past that the correct set should correspond to supermultiplets in 11D and found some evidence for this [9][5]. We now modify this conjecture because we expect the full theory to be consistent with representations of the superalgebra as described in section-3. Then the excited levels should be classified according to reference states with non-trivial $R_{c,K}$, but the same form of S_A as the base. The well known (perturbative) excited string states should fill part of these multiplets. The remainder of the multiplet is a prediction about the properties of the underlying secret theory, as seen in examples in our previous work.

5.2 Example of a vector central extension

Consider superstring theory of type II compactified to 9D. The base state is labelled with a 9D momentum p_μ , a quantized Kaluza-Klein momentum k_9 , and a winding number w_9 . If these are the only non-trivial central extensions then they are embedded in the 12D Z_{MN} as follows (where the order of the indices is taken as $M, N = 9, 10, 0', 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8$)

$$Z_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -w_9 & -k_9 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ w_9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ k_9 & 0 & 0 & p_0 & p_1 & \cdots & p_8 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

while $Z_{M_1 \dots M_2}^+ = 0$. This form is covariant under Lorentz transformations $SO(8,1)$ but non-covariant under the isometries of the superalgebra given in (8). The perturbative string states are well known at all excited levels. The non-perturbative black hole states satisfy the well known BPS condition $M_9 = |k_9 \pm w_9|$, where $M_9^2 = p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2$. This corresponds to requiring the vanishing of the determinant

$$\det S = (M_9 - w_9 - k_9)^8 (M_9 - w_9 + k_9)^8 (M_9 + w_9 + k_9)^8 (M_9 + w_9 - k_9)^8 \quad (31)$$

which has an 8-fold degeneracy for the zero eigenvalue. This means that 8 supergenerators vanish and $32-8=24$ of them act non-trivially on the reference state, giving a well known shorter supermultiplet of dimension $2^{24/2} = 2_{bosons}^{11} + 2_{fermions}^{11}$.

First I generalize this by including the central extension P_{10} which is a Lorentz singlet, and whose presence is required by U-duality ^c. Then

$$Z_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -w_9 & -k_9 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ w_9 & 0 & -k_{10} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ k_9 & k_{10} & 0 & p_0 & p_1 & \cdots & p_8 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

gives

$$\det S = \left((M_9 - w_9)^2 - k_9^2 - k_{10}^2 \right)^8 \left((M_9 + w_9)^2 - k_9^2 - k_{10}^2 \right)^8 \quad (33)$$

^cIn this case $U = SL(2) \times SO(1,1)$ which has the maximal compact subgroup $K = SO(2) \times Z_2$. Under K the Lorentz singlet central extensions form a doublet (k_9, k_{10}) plus a singlet w_9 .

which has manifest $K \otimes SO(8, 1)$ symmetry. The degeneracy of the zero eigenvalue is still 8, hence the size of the supermultiplet is $2_{bosons}^{11} + 2_{fermions}^{11}$ but the base has one more quantum number, and it displays the explicit K isometry. The mass formula is K invariant.

$$M_9 = \left| w_9 \pm \sqrt{k_9^2 + k_{10}^2} \right| \quad (34)$$

So far this is insufficient to also display the $SO(c+1, 1) = SO(2, 1)$ isometry given in (8). As explained there, the $SO(2)$ subgroup is the same as the one appearing in K . The $SO(2, 1)$ transformations mix the indices $M = 0', 9, 10$. When these are applied to the Z_{MN} above they require the more general covariant form ^d

$$Z_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -w_9 & -k_9 & z_{90} & z_{91} & \cdots & z_{98} \\ w_9 & 0 & -k_{10} & z_{100} & z_{101} & \cdots & z_{108} \\ k_9 & k_{10} & 0 & p_0 & p_1 & \cdots & p_8 \\ -z_{90} & -z_{100} & -p_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -z_{91} & -z_{101} & -p_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ -z_{98} & -z_{108} & -p_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (35)$$

The additional $z_{9\mu}, z_{10\mu}$ are Lorentz vectors that are interpreted as positions of end points of strings in 9D as explained in section-2. Therefore, they must be space-like vectors. Together with the timelike $p_\mu \equiv z_{0'\mu}$ they form a triplet $z_{i\mu}$ of $SO(2, 1)$. When these are included in the reference state we obtain a supermultiplet consistent with $SO(2, 1) \otimes SO(8, 1)$. The determinant of $S \sim C\gamma^{MN}Z_{MN}$ is

$$\det S = \left(Tr Z^4 - \left(Tr \frac{Z^2}{2} \right)^2 \right)^8 \quad (36)$$

The BPS determinant condition is evidently invariant under $SO(2, 1) \otimes SO(8, 1)$, the degeneracy of the zero eigenvalue is 8 and the size of the supermultiplet is $2_{bosons}^{11} + 2_{fermions}^{11}$. This supermultiplet is consistent with the isometries $SO(2, 1) \otimes SO(8, 1)$ (as well as with K) since all supercharges and all central extensions are complete multiplets of the isometries (for their classification in every dimensions see [5]).

In this way we have extended the perturbative superstring multiplets to the larger supermultiplets containing non-perturbative states of the secret theory consistent with 12D or 13D.

6 Conclusions

In the examples of section-4 only some of the central extensions were turned on. This was sufficient to illustrate the method of investigation as well as the relevance

^d $Z_{M_1 \dots M_2}^+ = 0$ is still consistent with the isometries so far, but without turning on this central extension as well, the full 12D covariance remains hidden.

and properties of the Lorentz non-singlet central extensions. Even with this limited set of examples it is clear that the spectrum and multiplet structure of the secret theory is much richer than previously thought. More work is needed to find all the solutions of $\det S_{A,B} = 0$ and identify all the distinct shorter multiplets. The number of supersymmetries on BPS states in the examples of this paper were 8,16, but all numbers from 0 to 32 are possible in more general examples. The algebraic approach outlined in this paper seems to be sufficiently powerful to elucidate some of the non-perturbative global properties of the secret theory. For example, by starting with known perturbative string states at any excitation level and using the multiplets of S-theory one can in principle make predictions on the spectrum of the secret theory. Some preliminary examples of this type were given before [9][5]. Similar considerations should also apply to scattering amplitudes, etc. In S-theory one finds that there are up to 13 hidden dimensions, some of which remain hidden from the point of view of perturbative approaches involving p -branes. One of the appealing aspects of the S-theory approach is to treat all 528 bosonic generators on an equal footing, thus elucidating the duality and hidden dimensions as simple consequences of the isometries of the maximally extended superalgebra.

There should be many variations of S-theory by taking some of the 528 bosonic generators to be non-abelian. Some of them may also have non-trivial commutation relations with the supergenerators[11]. Such variations of the superalgebra must be related to the geometrical properties of the background in which the p -branes propagate, as opposed to the flat background assumed in the present paper. Evidently the representation theory of the corresponding superalgebra will be more difficult but more interesting. In this way it should be possible to find relations between the results of M-,F- and S-theories.

7 Appendix

The gamma matrices in 12D with signature (10,2), or in 13D with signature (11,2), may be given explicitly in the following 64×64 purely real (Majorana) representation, using direct products of Pauli matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{0'} &= i\tau_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8 & \Gamma_9 &= \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \tau_3 \otimes 1_8 \\
\Gamma_0 &= \tau_1 \otimes i\sigma_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8 & \Gamma_8 &= \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \tau_1 \otimes 1_8 \\
\Gamma_{10} &= \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8 & \Gamma_i &= \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes g_i \\
\Gamma_A &= \tau_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8 & C &= 1 \otimes i\sigma_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8 \\
\Gamma_B &= 1 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where the g_i are purely imaginary 8×8 antisymmetric gamma matrices for the remaining 7 dimensions. C is the charge conjugation matrix, it has the property that $C\Gamma_M$ is symmetric for the 12D gamma matrices, $M = 0', 0, 1, \dots, 10$, or $C\Gamma_M C^{-1} = -(\Gamma_M)^T$.

Γ_A is a 13^{th} gamma matrix that is the product of the 12D Γ_M and it anticommutes with them (i.e. analog of γ_5 in 4D). Γ_A commutes with C and Γ_B . The chiral projector $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_A)$ serves to project to the 32×32 subspace that is of interest for the type IIA sector of the theory. Since the chirally projected sector distinguishes the 13^{th} gamma matrix the maximal covariance is broken down from 13D to 12D in the type A sector.

When the antisymmetric products of p gamma matrices $\Gamma_{M_1 M_2 \dots M_p}$ are multiplied by the projector $1 + \Gamma_A$ only the 12D covariant $66 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_A) C \Gamma_{M_1 M_2}$ and $462 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_A) C \Gamma_{M_1 M_2 \dots M_6}$ are symmetric matrices. Therefore only the 2-index and self dual (in 12D) six-index tensors can appear in the 12D type A superalgebra. Thus S_A is a linear combination of these as in (9). In this 32×32 subspace we may replace each Γ_M by $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_A) \Gamma_M \frac{1}{2}(1 - \Gamma_A) \rightarrow \gamma_M$, where we denote the 32×32 gamma matrices γ_M by $\gamma_M = (1, \gamma_m)$, with $\gamma_{0'} = 1$ and γ_m , $m = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ given by omitting the first τ_i factors in the expressions of the other 11 Γ_m given above, i.e. $\gamma_0 = i\sigma_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8 = C$, $\gamma_{10} = \sigma_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1_8$, etc.. This form may be used in (10) to simplify it to the 11D notation of (9).

$\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_B)$ is the projector to the 32 dimensional subspace relevant for the type-B sector of the theory. Γ_B is the product of the usual 10D Γ_μ gamma matrices $\Gamma_B \sim \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_9$. One can also write

$$\Gamma_B \sim \Gamma_{0'} \Gamma_{10} \Gamma_A \sim \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_9. \quad (38)$$

Γ_B commutes with each $\Gamma_i \equiv (\Gamma_{0'}, \Gamma_{10}, \Gamma_A)$ and anticommutes with C and each $\Gamma_\mu = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_9)$

$$[\Gamma_B, \Gamma_i] = 0, \quad \{ \Gamma_B, \Gamma_\mu \} = \{ \Gamma_B, C \} = 0. \quad (39)$$

Therefore this projection breaks the symmetry from 13D to $10D \otimes 3D$ since it treats the 3D differently than the 10D. The three Γ_i may be regarded as the gamma matrices for a 3D hidden Minkowski space just as the ten Γ_μ are the gamma matrices for the 10D Minkowski space. This extra space is evidently related to the geometrical origin of the $SL(2, R)$ symmetry of the type-B sector.

S_B is constructed from 528 linearly independent symmetric 32×32 matrices of type-B. In 64×64 notation these are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_{31} \mu_4 \mu_5} (\Gamma_A \Gamma_i) & : \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{10 \times 9 \times 8 \times 7 \times 6}{1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5} \times 3 = 378 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_{31}} (\Gamma_A) & : \quad \frac{10 \times 9 \times 8}{1 \times 2 \times 3} = 120 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1} (\Gamma_A \Gamma_i) & : \quad 10 \times 3 = 30 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where the chirally projected five index gamma matrices are self dual in 10D. In the chirally projected B sector these matrices reduce to 32×32 blocks which may be conveniently written in the form of direct products of 2×2 times 16×16 matrices $\bar{\tau} \otimes \bar{\gamma}$ as in (12), where the 2×2 part comes directly from the first factor and the 16×16 part comes from the last three factors in the gamma matrix expressions Γ in (37,40).

One may consider $SO(1,2)=SL(2,R)$ rotations of S_B in the extra 3D subspace, leaving unaffected the usual 10 dimensions. To make the connection to 13D we give it in the form of rotations in the 64×64 spinor space

$$\delta S_B = \left[\epsilon^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}, S_B \right]. \quad (41)$$

One can show that

$$\epsilon^{ij} \Gamma_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \right) \Gamma_A \epsilon^{ij} \Gamma_{ij} \Gamma_A \quad (42)$$

when $p = \text{odd}$. Using this identity we see easily that the following commutators simplify

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\epsilon^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}, \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} (\Gamma_A \Gamma_{k \dots}) \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \Gamma_B) C \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \Gamma_A \left[\epsilon^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}, (\Gamma_{k \dots}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The last commutator is just the rule for performing rotations in the 3D subspace. This shows that only the 3D indices rotate under these $SO(1,2)$ rotations embedded in 13D rotations, and hence verifies that the construction of the 528 matrices (40) is the right one. Furthermore, this result is consistent with using the direct product notation of (12). The construction of (40) is useful because it exhibits the precise embedding of the type-B space in the spinor space of 13D.

From the constructions given above we see that the type A and type B are different projections within the same 64×64 spinor space of 13D. Hence the duality of the type-A and type-B sectors of the theory has its origins in the spinor space for 13D .

References

- [1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B443 (1995) 85; and “Some comments on String Dynamics”, hep-th/9507121, to appear in the proceedings of Strings ’95; P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 506 (=hep-th/9510209).
- [2] C. Vafa, “Evidence for F-theory”, hep-th/9602022; D.R. Morrison and C. Vafa, “Compactifications of F-theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds” hep-th/9602114, hep-th/9603161; E. Witten, “Phase transitions in M theory and F theory”, hep-th/9603150.
- [3] J. Schwarz, Phys.Lett.**B367** (1996) 97 (=hep-th/9510086); hep-th/9509148; “M-theory extensions of T duality”, hep-th/9601077.
- [4] J. Polchinski, Phys.Rev.Lett. **75** (1995) 4724 (= hep-th/9510017). See also, J. Polchinski, S. Chaudhuri, C.V. Johnson “Notes on D-branes”, hep-th/9602052.

- [5] I. Bars, “Supersymmetry, p-brane duality and hidden spacetime dimensions”, USC-96/HEP-B2 (=hep-th/9604139) to appear in Phys. Rev.; I. Bars, “Duality and hidden dimensions”, proceedings of conference on Frontiers in Quantum Field Theory, Toyonaka, Japan, Dec. 1996., to appear (=hep-th/9604200).
- [6] P. Townsend, “p-brane democracy”, hep-th/9507048.
- [7] R. Haag, J. Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius, Nucl.Phys.**B88** (1975) 257.
- [8] M. Blencowe and M. Duff, Nucl. Phys. **B310** (1988) 387.
- [9] I. Bars, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 3567 (=hep-th/9503228); I. Bars and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 4489 (=hep-th/9511098). I. Bars, “Consistency between 11D and U-duality”, hep-th/9601164.
- [10] E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, B. de Wit, Nucl. Phys.**B217** (1983) 489.
- [11] For some examples see: E. Bergshoeff and E. Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B232** (1989) 96; **B354** (1995) 256 (=hep-th/9504140).